



IACUC THREE I's	
IBC	
IRB BIOSECURITY RA	
RI COMPLIANCE REGULATORY	

THREE I's: and RESEARCH INTEGRITY™: COMPLIANCE, ETHICS and BIOSECURITY ... Building Resilience

Day 2 **TUESDAY** **APRIL 28, 2026** **DRAFT CONFERENCE AGENDA**

10:00 AM	WELCOME TO DAY TWO!		
10:00 AM- 11:00 AM	GUIDELINES NEEDED FOR THE USE OF AI IN THE PREPARATION AND REVIEW OF IRB, IBC, AND IACUC APPLICATIONS		
GENERAL SESSION	<p>DANIEL EISENMAN, PhD, RBP, SM(NRCM), CBSP, ADVARRA JAMES RIDDLE, ADVARRA NICHELLE COBB, PhD, AAHRPP MOHAMMAD HOSSEINI, PhD, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY</p> <p>This session will present a paper submitted to the American Journal of Bioethics titled, "Guidelines needed for the use of AI in the preparation and review of IRB, IBC, and IACUC applications". Authors on the paper will serve as panelists discussing the perspectives of the IRB, IBC, IACUC and AAHRPP.</p>		
11:00 AM – 11:10 AM	BREAK		
11:10 AM – 11:50 AM			
	<p>THREE I's</p> <p>A FOCUS ON EDUCATION AND COLLABORATION: THE POST-APPROVAL VERIFICATION AND EDUCATION (PAVE) PROGRAM FOR IACUC, IRB, AND IBC AT THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT EL PASO</p> <p>ELAINE JOSEPH, PHD CPIA, ASSOCIATE VICE PRESIDENT, RESEARCH COMPLIANCE, REGULATORY ASSURANCES, & INTEGRITY</p> <p>TURI KEIL, BSB CHRM POST APPROVAL VERIFICATION AND EDUCATION ADMINISTRATOR</p> <p>MARYEL LOPEZ, BS POST-APPROVAL VERIFICATION AND EDUCATION SR COORDINATOR</p>	<p>HAZARDOUS RESPONSE TEAMS CYBER SECURITY TBA</p> <p>FBI WMD</p>	<p>IRB Compliance RI</p>

	<p>UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT EL PASO</p> <p>Post-approval monitoring is an important component of a research compliance program that ensures investigator compliance with protocols and regulations and protects research participants and animal subjects. At the University of Texas at El Paso (UTEP) the Post-Approval Verification and Education (PAVE) program is designed to be collaborative in nature and assist researchers in maintaining compliance with their IACUC, IBC, and IRB protocols, while also providing proactive education and support to researchers and their staff.</p>		
--	---	--	--

11:55 AM – 12:30 PM

	<p style="text-align: center;">THREE I's</p> <p style="text-align: center;">EXPORT CONTROL CONSIDERATIONS FOR IACUC, IBC AND IRB STAFF</p> <p style="text-align: center;">TORREY TRUSZKOWSKI, PhD ASSISTANT DIRECTOR RESEARCH SECURITY AND INTEGRITY EXPORT CONTROL OFFICER CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND RESEARCH SECURITY OFFICER RESEARCH INTEGRITY DIVISION OF RESEARCH BROWN UNIVERSITY</p> <p>Export controls are a set of federal laws and regulations that govern the transfer of information and items out of a country. In this session, we will discuss how these regulations apply to the work of IRB, IACUC and IBC professionals. Much of the research going on at US universities is "Fundamental Research" which is exempt from much of the US export control regulations, but this creates noncompliance risks due to the lack of knowledge. This session will provide a basis for understanding how your work can interact with export control team members at your institution and identify concerns in the research you review.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">BEYOND THE REVIEWING IRB: HOW SIRB MODELS RESHAPE INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES</p> <p style="text-align: center;">MONICA KANE NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY</p> <p>The transition to single IRB (sIRB) review has redrawn oversight boundaries within multi-site research, shifting certain responsibilities to external reviewing IRBs while leaving institutions accountable for areas such as study team training and eligibility verification, conflict of interest management, misconduct processes, and other internal compliance obligations. As oversight becomes more decentralized, unclear expectations and inconsistent communication can create operational strain, ethical concerns, and challenges for IRB and HRPP staff navigating these shared responsibilities.</p> <p>This session focuses on practical ways for IRB and HRPP professionals to clarify responsibilities, determine which oversight functions must remain local, and streamline day-to-day interactions with reviewing IRBs. Examples will highlight common pain points—including negotiating reliance terms, conducting and documenting local context review, coordinating site-specific updates, and aligning IRB operations with institutional compliance offices. Participants will explore communication models that minimize delays, identify where flexibility in reliance agreements is appropriate, and apply strategies that strengthen cross-organizational collaboration within decentralized oversight structures.</p>
--	---	---

12:30 PM – 1:00 PM	LUNCH		
1:00 PM – 2:00 PM	BREAKOUT SESSIONS		
	<p style="text-align: center;">IACUC</p> <p style="text-align: center;">NAVIGATING CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: WHAT EVERY IACUC NEEDS TO KNOW</p> <p style="text-align: center;">SARAH N ARCHIBALD, PhD, MSL, MS, MDE, MA, CCEP, CRCMP</p> <p style="text-align: center;">ASSOCIATE VICE PROVOST, RESEARCH COMPLIANCE & REGULATORY AFFAIRS OFFICE OF RESEARCH & INNOVATION DREXEL UNIVERSITY</p> <p>This presentation explores how conflicts of interest can arise in IACUC operations and what committees should consider when reviewing COI disclosures and management plans. We will discuss regulatory requirements, real-world examples, and best practices for ensuring impartial decision-making. Attendees will leave with actionable guidance to strengthen transparency, promote ethical review, and support institutional compliance.</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">TITLE TBD</p> <p style="text-align: center;">BLAKE TALBOT, HARVARD MEDICAL SCHOOL</p> <p>AI-generated scientific images, AI-powered image detection (programs like Imagetwin and Proofig), investigations and other AI tools that could be helpful in research misconduct</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">RESEARCH DATA MANAGEMENT ROUND-UP: KEY DEVELOPMENTS AND WHAT TO WATCH</p> <p style="text-align: center;">PATRICIA B CONDON, PhD ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR, RESEARCH DATA SERVICES LIBRARIAN UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE LIBRARY</p> <p>This session provides an overview of recent developments in the research data management and sharing landscape. It covers current funder and publisher expectations, evolving data sharing and reuse norms, and emerging risks related to sensitive data and stewardship. The discussion highlights what has changed, what to watch for, and why it matters for research integrity, compliance, and everyday research support.</p>
See you in the morning!			